Challenges in Logging Interactive Visualizations and Visualizing Interaction Logs
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Abstract
A growing number of visualization tools are now publicly released on the Web. While this has many benefits, such as reaching more users without any installation time or procedure, it is often unclear how those tools are being used. The most common method to remotely observe usage is remote logging through a web server. Analyzing recorded logs has already been successful to improve the usability of tools, assess the performance of users and even to enrich the user interface with histories or logs visualizations. However, from our own practice of recording and analyzing logs, we have found a lack of methodology to support this process and use the results consistently. Our goal is to raise awareness of the potential of logging to improve visualization tools and their evaluation, as well as paving the way for a long term research agenda on the use of logs in Information visualization (Infovis).

1 Context and Motivation
Logging is a mechanism for automatically capturing the behavior of a program or of a user. It is usually invisible, non-obtrusive, and can be set up remotely for long periods of time [1]. Logging can be particularly useful for information visualization research, as it can serve to debug visualization, to test its usability, or to evaluate a user’s behavior while interacting with it. Although logs usually aim at capturing system events resulting from e.g., user interactions, they can also record other valuable information like a visualization’s state at specific moments of a user-session—typically what data is being used, what window layout shows up on the user’s UI, etc. These recordings can be set up explicitly (e.g., using a log tracker), or can be indirectly generated using web server logs or proxies [7].

We, the authors of this article, have used logging mechanisms for almost a decade now. We have mainly conducted system evaluation and user behavior analysis using logs, but we have also started to explore novel ways of visualizing logs themselves to facilitate their analysis. We have developed a variety of tools to track user-activity, which we have deployed in various online visualizations and tools, some of which have reached great masses of users (+100 000). This experience has led us to appreciate the need for developing structured ways of making sense of logs, and is what drives the questions and discussions we raise in this proposal. As so far we have failed to find proper documentation on best practices in this area in the Infovis literature, we hereby intend to encourage a community effort to properly document on best practices in this area in the Infovis literature, and necessary ethical practices associated with logging; and 5) applications related to logs, such as their visual representations. In the following subsections, we briefly develop on each of these issues, and we propose a series of open questions intended as ‘food for thought’ for future research directions.

2 Research Agenda Proposal
Our agenda focuses primarily on five issues associated with logging: 1) defining logging format(s); 2) reporting and analyzing logs; 3) setting up logging infrastructures; 4) reflecting on the legal issues and necessary ethical practices associated with logging; and 5) applications related to logs, such as their visual representations. In the following subsections, we briefly develop on each of these issues, and we propose a series of open questions intended as ‘food for thought’ for future research directions.

2.1 A Standard Logging Format
The first step when setting up a logging process is to ask what should be recorded, when it should be recorded, and how (by the web server, by the application itself, etc.). For example, even a simple and ubiquitous interaction, like a mouse dragging, requires carefully considerations as it can generate a lot of noisy events resulting in very large and thus difficult to interpret log files.

- How to record low-level interactions (mouse moves, keystroke, ...) and data-intensive interactions (dynamic queries, brushing and linking, ...) efficiently?
- How to track multiple and coordinated views? How to track the view the user currently focuses on?
- What is the scope of the context that should be recorded beyond user’s interaction? Desktop UI configuration? Computer and office setup?
- How to record collaborative and multi-device activities?
• How to reduce the size of data intensive interactions? Should there be a low frequency / interaction sampling, filtering and/or aggregation to shrink log files? Should there be any buffering strategy?
• Is the Common Log Format (IP, User ID, Timestamp, etc.) generated by web servers, expressive enough to be the standard for Infovis? What are the related and upcoming standards (W3C, others)? Should Infovis define its own log format?

2.2 Logs Reporting and Analysis
Logs reporting in academic papers varies with high discrepancies. In PivotSlice [14] authors report “interaction logs were recorded by the software”. While in A Table [11], authors provide a detailed “Participation Logs” analysis of the 185636 interaction from 648 visitors. This raises the need to improve logs analysis reporting to allow sound conclusions, and reproducibility of the evaluation.

• What relevance have vanity metrics (# users, # visits) to assess the success of an Infovis tool/technique?
• What should be the standard procedure or the best practices in logs reporting, for applications ranging from usability testing to evaluation?
• How to improve the reproducibility of research results and interoperability between logging tools and techniques?
• What are the specifics of logs for controlled experiments versus in the wild ones?
• How do user behavior framework like the HEART framework translate into logs? (and vice versa)

2.3 Logging Infrastructure
As we have mentioned earlier, a series of tools log users by default (e.g. proxies, web servers). However, from the authors’ practical experience, it is oftentimes necessary to build its own tools for the sake of control over the logging format and flexibility in types of events to tracks.

• What is a simple and affordable setup for logging in Infovis?
• How to deal with offline tracking, synchronization? How to merge collected logs with other data sources, e.g. to clean, validate or enrich them with more contextual information?
• How updating an Infovis technique impacts previously collected/legacy logs?
• How existing APIs (Google Analytics, KissMetrics) can be used to track Infovis techniques? And perform tests such as A/B testing, perform cohort analysis, and real time monitoring?
• Beyond remote servers: what logging device or tracker can be used for logging? Can logging be manual and self-reported by users, instead of automated?

2.4 Legal and Ethical questions
As log collection and analysis is related to behavioral research involving humans, it requires approval from researchers’ employer.

• How to make logging comply with IRB applications? How those applications shape the logging collection and evaluation procedure?
• What are the disclaimers best practices to notify users of logging activity?
• What would be the design of logging respecting privacy (e.g. logging that doesn’t reveal people’s identity)?

2.5 Application Related to Logging
Finally, we think that agreeing upon a logging format and infrastructure, would have spillovers such as data interoperability and allow more applications building upon logs. Letting users visualize logs, whether it is their own or others, is a rich and promising area to identify patterns [10, 12], insights [13] of large logs collections [9]. Logs may also enrich the user experience with enhanced history navigation [2], browsing [5] and monitoring [8]. More Infovis and Visual Analytics application already make sense of logs and further research is need to tackle challenges with the growing complexity of data types, user tasks, and the need for scalable solutions as logs volume increases exponentially.
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